UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Civil Action No. 08-137

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison

Litigation

Opinion and Report

Of the

DONALD PHELPS,

Special Master

-vs-

ORIGINAL

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,

Defendants.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 29, 2008

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.

Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing

251 South White Horse Pike

Audubon, New Jersey 08106

856-546-1100

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
                    Transcript of proceedings in the above
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
 4
     Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
 5
     Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 6
 7
     United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
 8
     Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 3:10 PM.
 9
10
     APPEARANCES:
1]
           LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES
           BY: LAWRENCE W. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE
12
           330 MARKET STREET
           CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102
1.3
           856-968-9201
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
14
15
16
           ROSELLI & GRIEGEL, PC
           BY: JAMES LAZZARO, ESQUIRE
17
                - and -
           BY: STEVEN GRIEGEL, ESQUIRE
18
           1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33
           HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
19
           609-586-2257
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- JUDGE BISSELL: We will reopen the
- 2 record in the matter of Donald Phelps versus William
- 3 Fauver, et al, assigned a docket number 08-CV-137.
- 4 This opinion/report is being issued
- 5 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference
- 6 to a Special Master and the Special Master's
- 7 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which
- 8 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts
- 9 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury
- 10 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to
- 11 the extent applicable to the allegations in Mr.
- 12 Phelps' case.
- 13 As finalized after review under Local
- 14 Civil Rule 52.1, the transcript of this oral opinion
- 15 will constitute the written report required by
- 16 paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a
- 17 Special Master.
- 18 Mr. Phelps was housed in C Unit at the
- 19 time significant to his case which was about two
- 20 weeks after July 30, 1997. He had had his foot
- 21 operated upon to straighten his right big toe and was
- 22 on crutches with a bandaged foot. He was making
- 23 regular visits to the infirmary to have his foot
- 24 dressed and inspected and also for the administration
- 25 of insulin due to his diabetic condition.

- 1 The SOG officers came into C Unit at or
- 2 about this time, as I said, approximately two weeks
- 3 after July 30, 1997. He was ordered to strip in his
- 4 cell, he was not moving with as much agility and
- 5 speed as the officers would like. He was, therefore,
- 6 prodded in his ribs. However, it didn't hurt him.
- 7 The man, compared to his size, (of course, I was able
- 8 to observe Mr. Phelps, he's a big man) was smaller
- 9 than Mr. Phelps and, accordingly, this prodding
- 10 didn't hurt him. However, the man also kicked him in
- 11 the grain in order to, apparently, speed up Mr.
- 12 Phelps' compliance. I find that this particular
- 13 action was excessive, penal in nature and for the
- 14 purpose of asserting authority unnecessarily. I find
- 15 that it hurt and that it hurt him throughout the day
- 16 in the gym.
- 17 A more serious incident involved here,
- 18 however, was described by Mr. Phelps beginning at
- 19 page 35, line 22 of his testimony. The excerpt is
- 20 not too lengthy and so I'll read it or read from it
- 21 in the next few minutes.
- 22 The questions began at line 22: "Did
- 23 they do anything else to you in your cell?
- 24 Answer: No, they told me to come
- 25 outside my cell at that time and told me to lean

		Page 5
1	against the wall with my forehead on the wall, my	
2	hands behind my back with my legs spread out. And	
3	that's when the officer came up and was asking me	
4	what is all those bandages on my foot for.	
5	Question: What did you reply?	
6	Answer: I told him I had surgery on my	
7	foot and he asked me what type of surgery. I said I	
8	had a piece of bone removed from my big toe and then	
9	they just stomped my foot.	
10	Question: Who stomped your foot?	
11	Answer: One of the SOG unit officers.	
12	Question: How many times did he stomp	
13	your foot?	
14	Answer: Like four times.	
15	Question: Was this a forceful action?	
16	Answer: Yes.	
17	Question: Did that hurt?	
18	Answer: Yeah.	
19	Question: How would you describe the	
20	pain?	
21	Answer: I started having shooting	
22	pain. And they made me, because the doctor told me	
23	no weight-bearing on my foot, and when they told me	
24	to go to the gym and follow the man in front of me	
25	and don't get out of line, they wouldn't let me use	50 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

Page 6 no crutches. So I had to hobble on my foot from my 2 unit to the gym." 3 He then describes speaking with a 4 doctor in the infirmary about this matter and at page 5 41, line five for approximately a page also quoting 6 at this time. 7 "And it was a lady doctor and she was new, I didn't know her name. And that's when they 8 9 came and took me down to the infirmary to see the 10 doctor. She was asking me what happened to my foot, but the SOG officers on the way there before I came 11 12 out of my cell, they were telling me if I was to try 13 to start any trouble or try to tell anything on them, 14 they said that I'm going to have problems and stuff 15 you know. 16 So when the doctor was asking me what 17 happened, I said my foot got hurt. And she was like 18 saying how. And I just like looked at her and turned 19 my head toward the officers and she just looked at 20 them and shook her head. 21 Question: Were the SOGs right there 22 when that conversation occurred? 23 Answer: Yes. 24 Question: Did you feel that they were 25 threatening you?

		Page	7
)	Answer: Yes, they was.		2
2	Question: Let me rephrase that. Did		0000000
3	you feel threatened?		
4	Answer: Yes.		
5	Question: By the SOGs?		
6	Answer: Yes."		
7	Once again, as any fact finder		
8	endcavoring to exercise common sense and trying to		
9	make some determinations as to what makes sense under		
10	the circumstances without obviously engaging in fatal		
11	speculation, I conclude that there is, indeed, an		
12	inherent basis for the truth in the incident as Mr .		
13	Phelps describes it. He was a big man and the SOG		ļ
14	officers knew it. Frankly, it makes some sense that		
15	they would endeavor on the front end to deliver a		
16	message to a man of this size, possibly a threat to		
17	them, in the most effective way. So under the		
18	circumstances they kicked him in the groin and		
19	stomped him on an already injured foot.		
20	I do determine, of course, that this is		
21	indeed excessive and, frankly, malicious and sadistic		
22	within the comtemplation of the rules of law that		
23	apply to the use of excessive force. As I said,		
24	there is an inherent common sense in why it might		
25	have occurred.		

- 1 Secondly, as far as the foot was
- 2 concerned, this was a pre-existing condition. Stomp
- 3 a man on a foot that's already bandaged and little
- 4 visible evidence results, for it probably looks the
- 5 same as it was before. So this also supports a ring
- 6 of truth to the event as described by Mr. Phelps.
- 7 Now, a good deal was made, and not
- 8 surprisingly so, of the entry on the record of the
- 9 infirmary visit on or about August 14th, 1997, which
- 10 as described here would be shortly after the event in
- ll question.
- 12 Given the testimony of Mr. Phelps about
- 13 a reluctance to be completely candid with the
- 14 examining person, although this does appear to be a
- 15 nurse's note as opposed to being that of a physician,
- 16 there is not anything terribly inconsistent between
- 17 the entry involved here, "continued mild infection",
- 18 "mild tenderness", "small amount of drainage".
- Now, they can be read as being
- 20 attributable to the original condition, but they also
- 21 are not fatally inconsistent by any means with the
- 22 description of the events that happened to Mr.
- 23 Phelps. Indeed, of course, by that time and once
- 24 again because the foot was bandaged and the
- 25 tenderness and drainage might not have been that much

- 1 more visible. But that doesn't undermine the
- 2 happening of the incident and the pain inflicted on
- 3 that occasion.
- 4 I've also considered the subsequent
- b history to Mr. Phelps' foot. However, I've
- 6 determined that that was mostly due to the underlying
- 7 big toe condition itself and to the diabetes which
- 8 eventually led to the amputation of all of his toes.
- 9 The impact from the kicking in the groin and the foot
- 10 stomping was acute and severe at the time, but not of
- 11 lengthy duration. Nor is there adequate evidence to
- 12 demonstrate that the eventual amputations were
- 13 attributable to that event. I also recall an absence
- 14 of medical testimony that would be needed there.
- There is no evidence in this matter
- 16 which this Master finds truly impeaches or undermines
- 17 the plaintiff's account of the events when confronted
- 18 with the SOG officers. I find that his explanation
- 19 for not going into any details shortly after the SOG
- 20 officers had inflicted this pain upon him was
- 21 understandable based upon those threats and also, of
- 22 course, his particular vulnerability. This bandaged
- 23 foot wasn't going to heal promptly and might remain
- 24 an obvious target in the future if they were aware
- 25 that he had made complaints fingering the SOG

- 1 officers.
- 2 The actions directed against Mr. Phelps
- 3 go well beyond the necessity of any proper law
- 4 enforcement needs or any proper exercise of
- 5 discipline or other legitimate penalogical purpose as
- 6 well defined in the jury instructions which are
- 7 incorporated here. There was, indeed, excessive and
- 8 unnecessary and sadistic force imposed upon Mr.
- 9 Pholps here within the comtemplation of those legal
- 10 principles.
- 11 However, in light of the fact that the
- 12 striking of Mr. Phelps was not prolonged or repeated,
- 13 while actionable for recovery of compensatory
- 14 damages, I do not find that the assaults visited upon
- 15 him rose to the level of being so egregious as to
- 16 support a claim for punitive damages, at least
- 17 against the unidentified officers who were with him
- 18 and the one who inflicted the serious injuries.
- 19 I realize, of course, the fact that the
- 20 officers were unidentified here, but there will come
- 21 a time when the issue arises as to whether there is
- 22 any significance to the conduct by the perpetrators
- 23 regarding plaintiffs' efforts to establish supervisor
- 24 liability.
- 25 l emphasize here again, therefore, and

Page 11 want to make it perfectly clear that I do not make a 1 2 finding that the conduct, although actionable, and 3 which supports an award of compensatory damages, was 4 so agragious as to support an award of punitive damages under applicable legal standards. 6 Finally, although not every item of 7 evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, 8 all evidence presented to the Special Master was reviewed and considered. 9 10 I find that injury was inflicted and is 11 actionable. I find that it was acute, but rather 12 short in terms of duration and pain attributable to 13 the events in question and, accordingly, recommend in 14 this report that the district court enter an award of 1.5 compensatory damages in the amount of seven thousand 16 five hundred dollars in Mr. Phelps' favor. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

February 29, 2008

		Page	12
1 2	CERTIFICATE		
	T. Minara O. Maratania in North D. National		
3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and		
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New		-
5	Jersey, do hereby cartify that the foregoing is a		
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as		
7	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,		
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.		
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a		
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any		
11	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither		
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,		
13	and that I am not financially interested in the		
14	action.		
15			
16			}
17			
18			
19	Theresa O Mastrocanni		
	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R.		1
20	Notary Public, State of New Jersey		
	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010		
21	Certificate No. XIO857		
	Date: March 10, 2008		
22			ă S
23			10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
24			
25			67 87 80 1.
			\$\ 2

A	2:14,19	come 4:24 10:20	defined 10:6	enforcement
able 4:7	attributable	commencing 2:8	deliver 7:15	10:4
	8:20 9:13	Commission	demonstrate	engaging 7:10
absence 9:13	11:12	12:20	9:12	enter 11:14
account 9:17	Audubon 1:23	common 7:8,24	describe 5:19	entry 8:8,17
accurate 12:6	August 8:9	compared 4:7	described 4:18	ESQUIRE 2:12
action 1:2 4:13	authority 4:14	compensatory	8:6,10	2:16,17
5:15 12:11,14	award 11:3,4,14	10:13 11:3,15	describes 6:3	ESQUIRES
actionable 10:13	aware 9:24	complaints 9:25	7:13	2:11
11:2,11	awai 6 7.24	completely 8:13	description 8:22	establish 10:23
actions 10:2	В	compliance 4:12	details 9:19	et 1:8 3:3
acute 9:10 11:11	back 5:2	_	determinations	
adequate 9:11	bandaged 3:22	comtemplation 7:22 10:9	7:9	event 8:6,10 9:13
administration	8:3,24 9:22		1	
3:24	bandages 5:4	concerned 8:2	determine 7:20	events 8:22 9:17
agility 4:4	based 3:9 9:21	conclude 7:11	determined 9:6	11:13
Agreement 3:7	basis 7:12	condition 3:25	diabetes 9:7	eventual 9:12
al 1:8 3:3		8:2,20 9:7	diabetic 3:25	eventually 9:8
allegations 3:11	Bayside 1:5 began 4:22	conduct 10:22	directed 10:2	evidence 8:4
amount 8:18	_	11:2	directives 3:5	9:11,15 11:7,8
11:15	beginning 4:18	confronted 9:17	discipline 10:5	examining 8:14
amputation 9:8	beyond 10:3	considered 9:4	discussed 11:7	excerpt 4:19
amputations	big 3:21 4:8 5:8	11:9	district 1:1,2 2:7	excessive 4:13
9:12	7:13 9:7	constitute 3:15	11:14	7:21,23 10:7
Answer 4:24 5:6	BISSELL 1:17	continued 8:17	docket 3:3	exercise 7:8 10:4
5:11,14,16,18	3:1	conversation	doctor 5:22 6:4	Expires 12:20
5:21 6:23 7:1,4	bone 5:8	6:22	6:7,10,16	explanation
7:6	···· ·	counsel 12:10,12	dollars 11:16	9:18
apparently 4:11	C 2:10 3:18 4:1	course 4:7 7:20	Donald 1:6 3:2	extent 3:11
appear 8:14	12:1,1	8:23 9:22	drainage 8:18	
applicable 3:11		10:19	8:25	<u> </u>
11:5	Camden 2:8,13	court 1:1,22 2:5	dressed 3:24	F 12:1
applied 3:8	candid 8:13	2:7 11:14	due 3:25 9:6	fact 7:7 10:11,19
apply 7:23	case 3:12,19	crutches 3:22	duration 9:11	facts 3:8
approximately	cell 4:4,23,25	6:1	11:12	far 8:1
4:2 6:5	6:12	C.S.R 12:19		fatal 7:10
arises 10:21	Certificate		E	fatally 8:21
asked 5:7	12:21	D	E 2:10,10 12:1,1	Fauver 1:8 3:3
asking 5:3 6:10	Certified 1:22	damages 10:14	effective 7:17	favor 11:16
6:16	2:4 12:4	10:16 11:3,5	efforts 10:23	FEBRUARY
assaults 10:14	certify 12:5,9	11:15	egregious 10:15	1:13
asserting 4:14	charges 3:10	date 12:8,21	11:4	feel 6:24 7:3
assigned 3:3	circumstances	day 4:15	emphasize 10:25	finalized 3:13
attorney 12:10	7:10,18	deal 8:7	employee 12:10	Finally 11:6
12:12	Civil 1:2 3:14	decision 3:8	12:12	financially
ATTORNEYS	claim 10:16	Defendants 1:9	endeavor 7:15	12:13
	clear 11:1	2:19	endeavoring 7:8	find 4:12,14
	······································	 	denskunsennderØtensekense "esseskunst, "esses	va.

	1	1	1	-
9:18 10:14	bands 5:2	8:17	looked 6:18,19	О
11:10,11	happened 6:10	issue 10:21	looks 8:4	O 2:4 12:3,19
finder 7:7	6:17 8:22	issued 3:4	LOUGHRY	observe 4:8
finding 11:2	happening 9:2	item 11:6	2:11	obvious 9:24
finds 9:16	head 6:19,20		7.0	obviously 7:10
fingering 9:25	heal 9:23	J	M	occasion 9:3
five 6:5 11:16	hereinbefore	JAMES 2:16	making 3:22	occurred 6:22
follow 5:24	12:8	Jersey 1:2,23 2:6	malicious 7:21	7:25
foot 3:20,22,23	HIGHWAY	2:8,13,18 12:5	man 4:7,8,10	officer 5:3
5:4,7,9,10,13	2:18	12:20	5:24 7:13,16	officers 4:1,5
5:23 6:1,10,17	history 9:5	JOHN 1:17	8:3	5:11 6:11,19
7:19 8:1,3,24	hobble 6:1	JUDGE 3:1	March 12:21	7:14 9:18,20
9:5,9,23	HONORABLE	July 3:20 4:3	MARKET 2:12	10:1,17,20
force 7:23 10:8	1:17	jury 3:9,10 10:6	Master 1:6,17	once 7:7 8:23
forceful 5:15	Horse 1:23	K	3:6,17 9:16	operated 3:21
foregoing 12:5	House 2:7		11:8	opinion 1:5 3:14
forehead 5:1	housed 3:18	kicked 4:10 7:18	Master's 3:6	opinion/report
FORMAROLI	hundred 11:16	kicking 9:9	Mastroianni	3:4 11:7
1:22	hurt 4:6,10,15	knew 7:14	1:22 2:4 12:3	opposed 8:15
forth 3:9 12:8	4:15 5:17 6:17	know 6:8,15	12:19	oral 3:14
four 5:14		L	matter 1:4 2:4	order 3:5,16
frankly 7:14,21	<u> </u>	lady 6:7	3:2 6:4 9:15	4:11
FRIDAY 1:13	impact 9:9	law 3:7 7:22	means 8:21	ordered 4:3
front 5:24 7:15	impeaches 9:16	10:3	medical 9:14	original 8:20
FURTHER 12:9	imposed 10:8	LAWRENCE	Mejias 3:10	outside 4:25
future 9:24	incident 4:17	2:12	message 7:16	
	7:12 9:2	LAZZARO 2:16	mild 8:17,18	P
G	inconsistent	lean 4:25	minutes 4:21	P 2:10,10
Gerry 2:7	8:16,21	led 9:8	moving 4:4	page 4:19 6:4,5
Given 8:12	incorporated	legal 10:9 11:5	3.7	pain 5:20,22 9:2
go 5:24 10:3	10:7	legal 10:9 11:5	N	9:20 11:12
going 6:14 9:19	infection 8:17		N 2:10	paragraph 3:16
9:23	infirmary 3:23	legs 5:2	name 6:8	particular 4:12
good 8:7	6:4,9 8:9	lengthy 4:20	nature 4:13	9:22
GRIEGEL 2:16	inflicted 9:2,20	9:11	necessity 10:3	parties 12:11
2:17	10:18 11:10	level 10:15	needed 9:14	PC 2:16
groin 4:11 7:18	inherent 7:12,24	liability 10:24	needs 10:4	penal 4:13
9:9	injured 7:19	license 2:5	neither 12:9,11	penalogical 10:5
guiding 3:7	injuries 10:18	light 10:11	new 1:2,23 2:6,8	perfectly 11:1
gym 4:16 5:24	injury 11:10	LINDSAY 2:11	2:13,18 6:8	perpetrators
6:2	inspected 3:24	2:12	12:4,20	10:22
	instructions	line 4:19,22 5:25	Notary 2:6 12:3	person 8:14
<u>H</u>	3:10 10:6	6:5	12:20	Phelps 1:6 3:2
H 1:8	insulin 3:25	Litigation 1:5	note 8:15	3:12,18 4:8,9
II A RAIT TYANK	interested 12:13	little 8:3	number 2:5 3:3	
HAMILTON 2:18	interested 12:13	Local 3:13	nurse's 8:15	4:12,18 7:13

10.00.00		1	1	1
10:2,9,12	recall 9:13	seven 3:16 11:15	support 10:16	<u>U</u>
11:16	recommend	severe 9:10	11:4	underlie 3:8
physician 8:15	11:13	shook 6:20	supports 8:5	underlying 9:6
piece 5:8	record 3:2 8:8	shooting 5:21	11:3	undermine 9:1
Pike 1:23	recovery 10:13	short 11:12	surgery 5:6,7	undermines
place 12:8	Reference 3:5	Shorthand 12:4	surprisingly 8:8	9:16
plaintiffs 2:14	3:16	shortly 8:10	··· ··· · · ·	understandable
10:23	Regard 1:4	9:19	<u></u>	9:21
plaintiff's 9:17	regarding 10:23	significance	T 12:1,1	unidentified
Plaza 2:7	regular 3:23	10:22	taken 2:4 12:7	10:17,20
PM 2:8	relative 12:10,12	significant 3:19	target 9:24	unit 3:18 4:1
possibly 7:16	reluctance 8:13	size 4:7 7:16	tell 6:13	5:11 6:2
presented 11:8	remain 9:23	small 8:18	telling 6:12	United 1:1 2:7
pre-existing 8:2	removed 5:8	smaller 4:8	tenderness 8:18	unnecessarily
principles 3:7	reopen 3:1	SOG 4:1 5:11	8:25 terms 11:12	4:14
10:10	repeated 10:12	6:11 7:13 9:18		unnecessary
Prison 1:5	rephrase 7:2	9:19,25	terribly 8:16	10:8
probably 8:4	reply 5:5	SOGs 6:21 7:5	testimony 4:19 8:12 9:14 12:6	use 5:25 7:23
problems 6:14	report 1:5 3:15	South 1:23		
proceedings 2:3	11:14	speaking 6:3	Theresa 2:4 12:3 12:19	V
prodded 4:6	Reporter 2:5	Special 1:6,17	thousand 11:15	versus 3:2
prodding 4:9	12:4	3:6,6,17 11:8		Videoconfere
prolonged 10:12	Reporting 1:22	speculation 7:11	threat 7:16	1:22
promptly 9:23	required 3:15	speed 4:5,11	threatened 7:3	visible 8:4 9:1
proper 10:3,4	results 8:4	spread 5:2	threatening 6:25 threats 9:21	visit 8:9
Public 2:6 12:3	review 3:13	SQUARE 2:18	time 3:19 4:2,25	visited 10:14
12:20	reviewed 11:9	standards 11:5	6:6 8:23 9:10	visits 3:23
punitive 10:16	ribs 4:6	start 6:13	10:21 12:7	vs 1:7
11:4	right 3:21 6:21	started 5:21		vulnerability
purpose 4:14	ring 8:5	State 1:5 2:6,18	times 5:12,14 toe 3:21 5:8 9:7	9:22
10:5	rose 10:15	12:4,20		w
pursuant 3:5	ROSELLI 2:16	States 1:1 2:7	toes 9:8	
Q	Rule 3:14	stenographica	told 4:24,25 5:6	W 1:17 2:12
question 5:5,10	rules 7:22	12:7	5:22,23	Walker 3:10
5:12,15,17,19	S	STEVEN 2:17	transcript 2:3 3:14 12:6	wall 5:1,1
6:21,24 7:2,5	S 2:10	stomp 5:12 8:2	trouble 6:13	want 11:1
8:11 11:13	sadistic 7:21	stomped 5:9,10	true 12:6	wasn't 9:23
questions 4:22	10:8	7:19	truly 9:16	way 6:11 7:17 weeks 3:20 4:2
questions 4.22 quoting 6:5	saying 6:18	stomping 9:10	truty 9.10 truth 7:12 8:6	weeks 5:20 4:2 weight-bearing
dannig (v.)	Secondly 8:1	straighten 3:21	try 6:12,13	weight-bearing 5:23
R	see 6:9	STREET 2:12	trying 7:8	White 1:23
R 2:10 12:1	sense 7:8,9,14,24	striking 10:12	turned 6:18	
read 4:20,20	serious 4:17	strip 4:3	two 3:19 4:2	William 1:8 3:2
8:19	10:18	stuff 6:14	type 5:7	wouldn't 5:25 written 3:15
0.17		enteromiont U.A.) LV 1105 . J . /	**************************************
realize 10:19	set 3:9 12:8	subsequent 9:4 supervisor 10:23	2 L-2	***************************************

•	_
L	h

	. [I
X	8		
XIO857 12:21	856-546-1100		
Y	1:24 856-968-9201		
Yeah 5:18	2:13		
0			
08-CV-137 3:3			
98-137 1:2			
08102 2:8,13			
08106 1:23			
08690 2:18			
1			
0 12:21			
1337 2:18			
14th 8:9			
1 997 3:20 4:3			
8:9			
2			
2008 1:13 12:21			
2010 12:20	•		
22 4:19,22			
251 1:23			
29 1:13			
3			
3:10 2:8			
30 3:20 4:3			
30X100085700			
2:5			
33 2:18 330 2: 12			
35 4:19			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
4			
41 6:5			
5			
5 12:20			
5 2.1 3:14			
6			
609-586-2257			
2:19			